UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,651 | 10/07/2005 | Dietmar Gentsch | 71872 US | 2644 |
| Michael M. Ric | 7590 01/16/2009 kin | EXAMINER | | |
| ABB Inc., Legal Department-4U6 | | | WOOD, ELLEN S | |
| 29801 Euclid Avenue Wickliffe, OH 44092-1832 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/16/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/552,651 | GENTSCH, DIETMAR | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | ELLEN S. WOOD | 1794 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 C</u> | ctober 2008 | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| ·= · | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , | | | | |
| · <u>_</u> | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and | 16 is/are objected to | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · · | , , | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | • | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) 🗖 Indan 😘 🙃 | (DTO 442) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/552,651 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 17-25 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/17/2006.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board

of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 7 recites the broad recitation "the balls or the hollow balls are composed of ceramic", and the claim also recites "preferably of aluminum nitride" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vanaglash (US 3,933,712).

In regards to claims 1-2, Vanaglash discloses an encapsulating material that is used in electrical components (col. 1 lines 7-12). The encapsulating material has desirable electrical and mechanical properties for encapsulating electronic components

Application/Control Number: 10/552,651 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

and integrated circuits (col. 2 lines 24-28). The encapsulating material is a mixture of glass sphere fillers with a predetermined distribution of external diameters (col. 4 lines 52-68).

In regards to claim 7, Vanaglash discloses that aluminum oxide, silica or calcium carbonate can also be used (col. 5 lines 22-24), thus balls composed of ceramic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanaglash (US 3,933,712) in view of Nonken (US 3,812,314).

Vanaglash discloses an encapsulating material that is used in electrical components (col. 1 lines 7-12). The encapsulating material has desirable electrical and mechanical properties for encapsulating electronic components and integrated circuits (col. 2 lines 24-28). The encapsulating material is a mixture of glass sphere fillers with a predetermined distribution of external diameters (col. 4 lines 52-68).

Vanaglash is silent with the method in that at least one switching chamber is provided with a cast surround composed of a first encapsulation compound, and is then

Art Unit: 1794

encapsulated together with connections into a block composed of at least one second encapsulation compound such as silicone, soft epoxy or plastics.

Page 5

Nonken discloses a molded plastic bushing for high electrical power applications is provided with an encapsulated vacuum (abstract). The bushing comprises an elongated, molded housing of electrically insulated epoxy resin (col. 2 lines 65-67). The wall member (first encapsulation) is coated with either a cured or uncured layer of bonding material of "soft" epoxy prior to molding the epoxy resin (second encapsulation) around the vacuum switch (col. 4 lines 49-67). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of forming a switching device as described by Nonken with the epoxy resin of Vanaglash, because the combination would produce a switching device with enhanced mechanical and electrical properties that are used for vacuum switches and electrical components.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELLEN S. WOOD whose telephone number is (571)270-3450. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 730-5 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,651 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794